

William M. Brenton & John W. Harrison merchants & partners trading under the name and style of Brenton & Harrison
against
Walter Knight

pl. 56
Defy

The judgment obtained at the Rules not having been set aside and the plaintiff being now entitled to a final judgment it is therefore considered that the plaintiff recover against the Defendant One hundred and four dollars January 1867 full paid and their costs by them about their suit in this behalf expended

Plff }
Defy }
In Case

Francis M. Holland & Joseph A. Holland merchants & partners doing business under the name & style of Holland & Co.
against

17.06
Plff of

Wm. Bradley & Edward Bradley partners doing business under the name & style of Bradley & Co.
The judgment obtained at the Rules not having been set aside and the plaintiff now entitled to a final judgment it is therefore considered that the plaintiff recover against the Defendants One hundred and three dollars twenty four cents the debt in the declaration mentioned with legal interest thereon from 25 day of March 1867 till paid and their costs by them about their suit in this behalf expended

Plff }
Defy }
In Debt

George Henderson, Robert W. Southmayd, Edwin Henderson & Thomas D. Henderson merchants & partners doing business under the name & style of Henderson, Southmayd & Co.
against

pl. 58
Plff

Richard B. Dandor & B. F. Vick merchants and partners doing business under the name & style of Dandor & Vick
The judgment obtained at the Rules not having been set aside and the plaintiff being now entitled to a final judgment it is therefore considered that the plaintiff recover against the defendant One hundred and one dollar four dollars ninety cents the debt in the declaration mentioned with legal interest thereon from September 31 1860 till paid and their costs by them about their suit in this behalf expended. This judgment is to be credited for \$78 25/100 paid Sept 25 1860.

Plff }
Defy }
In Debt

George Henderson, Robert W. Southmayd, Edwin Henderson & Thomas D. Henderson merchants & partners doing business under the name & style Henderson, Southmayd & Co.
against

pl. 68
Plff

Richard B. Dandor & B. F. Vick merchants & partners doing business under the name & style of Dandor & Vick
The judgment obtained at the Rules not having been set aside and the plaintiff being now entitled to a final judgment it is therefore considered that the plaintiff recover against the Defendant One hundred & thirty seven dollars seventy six cents the debt in the declaration mentioned with legal interest thereon from the 25 March 1861 till paid and their costs by them about their suit in this behalf expended

Plff }
Defy }
In Debt

W. D. Taylor (Surviving) partner of P. M. Taylor, late merchants under the firm of W. D. Taylor & Co.
against

pl. 73
Plff

William M. Whithead
The judgment obtained at the Rules not having been set aside and the plaintiff being now entitled to a final judgment it is therefore considered that the plaintiff recover against the Defendant fifty three dollars fifty seven cents the debt in the declaration mentioned with legal interest thereon from the 1st day of January 1862 till paid and their costs by them in this behalf expended

Plff }
Defy }
In Debt